Lexington on the Green v. Toronto Standard Condominium Corporation No. 1930 (Decision of Ontario Superior Court of Justice) (June 29, 2009)

The Issue

The issue on this Application is whether section 112 of the *Condominium Act* applies to the purchase and conveyance agreement between the parties such that the respondent can terminate the agreement to purchase the residence manager unit, one parking unit and one locker unit for \$240,000. The applicant suggests that the respondent is required to purchase the unit, parking and locker at the price of \$240,000. The applicant also seeks reimbursement for expenses incurred by it since the unit was to have been transferred.

The Facts

The Applicant is the developer of the condominium project and the respondent is a condominium corporation created on April 29, 2008 upon registration of its declaration with the Land Titles office.

The Declaration provides among other things, that,

"The corporation shall purchase from the Declarant the ownership interest in the residence manager unit, one parking unit and one locker unit for a purchase price of \$240,000"

Every prospective purchaser of a condominium unit was provided with a Disclosure Statement that included the declaration and the parties agree that perspective purchasers were aware of the provision regarding the purchase of the manager unit with parking and locker for \$240,000. Such disclosure is required to be provided pursuant to s.72 of the *Condominium Act*.

In accordance with this provision, the applicant engaged a full-time superintendent who has been living in the manager unit since February 2008.

On May 1, 2008 the applicant enacted a by-law pursuant to which the respondent entered into a conveyance and purchase agreement with the applicant for purchase of the manager unit. The conditions of purchase are identical to those set out in the disclosure statement and declaration.

The applicant appointed the first board of directors of the respondent. The first board authorized the respondent to execute the conveyance and purchase agreement on behalf of the respondent. That agreement was signed on May 1, 2008

On August 14, 2008, a "turnover" meeting was held to elect a new board of directors at a meeting of new owners. A new board of directors was elected.

On March 12, 2009 the new board of directors of the respondent passed a resolution to terminate the conveyance and purchase agreement. This was communicated to the solicitor for the applicant by letter dated March 23, 2009.

The Law

Section 112 of the *Condominium Act* is a new provision enacted in May 2001. The new provision provided that by resolution, a condominium board may, within 12 months following the election of the new board terminate an agreement "for the provision of facilities to the corporation on other than a non-profit basis" that the corporation has entered into before the election of the new board.

This new provision (unlike its predecessor s.39(2)) expands the scope of agreements that can be terminated to include not only "recreational facilities" but "facilities" generally. Moreover the new provision contains a new subsection that attributes the agreements that cannot be terminated pursuant to s.112.

Moreover, pursuant to the maxim *expressio unius est exclusio alterius*, the specific inclusion of a non-application section and mention of one instance of non-application would seem to preclude an inference that there are other agreements to which section 112 does not apply.

I note that all of the authorities cited by the applicant are cases decided prior to the enactment of section 112 of the *Condominium Act* on May 5, 2001.

Conclusion

On the wording of s.112, it would seem that the legislators intended to allow a board to terminate on agreement for the provision of facilities ¹such as the ones in this case, that are provided on a for-profit basis and that are effected by board resolution within 12 months following the election of a new board of directors held in accordance with s.43 of the Act. (Section 43 of the Act provided that the new board shall call a meeting of owners to elect a new board no more than 21 days after the declarant ceases to be the registered owner of the majority of the units).

This interpretation is consistent with the general purpose of the *Condominium Act*, to protect consumers of condominium units through their elected board of directors.

It is also consistent with section 7(5) of the *Condominium Act*, which provides that "If any provision in the declaration is inconsistent with the provisions of this Act, the

¹ From the definition of the word "facilities" found in the Black's Law Dictionary and the Canadian Law Dictionary provided to me by both parties, it is clear it is clear that the manager's unit, locker and parking spot are "facilities" within the meaning of s.112 of the *Condominium Act*.

provisions of this Act prevail and the declaration shall be deemed to be amended accordingly."

Thus, to the extent that a provision in the declaration is being relied on to deny the respondents the right to terminate the agreement in accordance with s.112 of the *Condominium Act*, the declaration which was created after the enactment of s.112 must be amended to allow for same.

For these reasons the application to immediately require the respondent to purchase the residence manager unit, parking unit and locker unit for a purchase price of \$240,000 is dismissed.

* . . .

JP#F	STICE storito	a Pi	7 ₀ 40 %		
\$ 50.50 \$\times \text{\$\times \text{\$\exititt{\$\text{\$\exititt{\$\text{\$\text{\$\text{\$\text{\$\}\$\text{\$\text{\$\text{\$\text{\$\text{\$\text{\$\text{\$\text{\$\text{\$\text{\$\text{\$\tex	EOF TU	KINGO,	Solicitors John Gentr Oo: Hrest Wes Intario.	7916 7916 70 (tel) 7. (tax) Applican	
	PERIOR COURT OF JUSTICE OF SUSTICE OF SUBSTICE OF SUBS	PPLICATION RECORD	nisters and Solidition of the Tago. Sulfie 1200: Veilington Street v Totorito: Ontario.	LSUC# 477910 416-864-9700 (tel) 446-941-8852 (tax) 488-941-8852 (tax)	
	SUPERIOR COURT OF MUSICE Proceedings commenced at Toronto	A PPLIA	Baristers and Soliditors Toronio-Dominion Centre Sulfie 1200: Swellington Street West i Toronio, Ontario	14.4.1.1.1.1.1.1.1.1.1.1.1.1.1.1.1.1.1.	
0 Q Q Q Q Q Q Q		TOP			
TORONTIO STANDARD CONDOMINTING CORPORA FIONING 1930					
NTO STA ORANICO INEDI	3//				
TORONTIC CORPORA					
PHR	F.				
	4 2 2 M				
	j.				
LEXINGTON ON THE GLUBBY IN	3 4 5 5				
	Dut M				7 - 9

Lexusion v. Tours student Condominium M. Guytte, and ItE 155UE Corp. Corp. Condominium M. Guytte, and The visice on this Application is whatle section 112 of the Condominium Act applies to the Purclane and Conveyance agreement between he parties such that the Normalian can terminate the executed to purchase to remotions manager unit, one penhip unit and are laken unit for \$240,000°. The Applicant, also seeks reimbusement for expenses incimed by it since to unit was to lave been transferred.

THE FACTS

The Applicant is to develope of the Compilation of believed to project and the Regarded is a condominium corporation and the land Titles office.

Te Declaration provides Mot, "The Corporation shall purchase from the Declarant the omership interest in the Rendence Manager Units, one Parhing Unit and one Locker Unit for a purchase print of \$240,000."

Every proportive peuclaser & condominion unit was provided with a Dischine Stelenet Bet included was provided with a Dischine Stelenet Bet inspector be pechasers use aware of the purvoun regarding the purvous reporting the purvous of the meraper unit with paining and c bater for previous & to meraper unit with paining and c bater for \$240,000 Such dischine is aguired & provided primary \$1.72.

In a condance with this provision, he Applicant engaged a full-tire superintendent who loss been ling in the

manager wint since February 2008.

On May 1, 2008 to Applicant anacted a by-low pursual to which the Remodent entered into a Conveyance and Purlane Applicant for peur clase of to hanger with. To anditions to purchase are identical to the set out in the Dischaire Statement and Declaration.

To Apphiant appointed to first board of Directors of the Repudent. The birst Board authorized the Respondent to are cutized the Conveyance and Purchase apeanent on bahall of the Respondent, that aprendent was sixed on Hay 1,2008.

On August 14,2008, a "Turn-Over" neety was held to aloct a new board of Livectors at a neetry of new owners. Aren board of Livectors was elected.

On Morch 12, 2009 to ten board of Livetons of the Respondent parsed a vosilution to terminate to Conveyors and Purchase Agreement This was communicated to the Solvita for the Applicant by letter dated March 27, 2009.

Section 112 of the Condiminion Act is a new provided Pot exacted in May 2001. The new provision provided Pot by rosolution, a condeminion board may, within 12 months following the election of the new board, terminate an apreciant "for the provision of facilities to the corporation on their than a non-pulpit basis"

that to corporation has entered into before the electron of to raw board.

This row provision (white its producemor 5: 35(2)) expands to sine of agreements that can be learnerated to include not only "recreational facilities" but "facilities" geradly. Movemen, the new provision contains a new subsection that authors the appointent that are not Can not be terminated pursuant to S. 112.

(A) Indivision as won-Application externass

Movener, Pursuant to the markin expression union est, exclusion. alterius, the specific/ mention of one instance of non-application would seem to proclude an uleany that there are other appropriates that to which section

112 does not apply.

I No Act all of the authorities arted by the Applicant one cases decided processed to prior to the executant of section 112 a to Condominium Act air 2007.

CONCINZION On to wording of S. 112, I would seem to the lops-Lettro vitarded to allow a board to terminate an appeared for the provision of facilities such on the ones in tim case, flat are provided on a for-profit basis,

* From the definitions of the word "facilities" found in the Black's law Dictionary and to Canadian law Dictionary punded to me by both parter, it is clear tel to manager's vist, boder and parking sport are "facilities within the nearing of 5,112 of the Underninium Act.

and that are effected by board resolution without 12 months of though to election of a new board of directors held in accordance with 5. 43 of the Act. (Section 43 of the Act provided that he new board shall call a neeting of owners to elect a new board no more from 21 days after to declarant cases to be the reprotoced owner of the nagrify of the wints.).

This interpretation is consistent with the School purpose of the Conduminium Act, to puted consumers to conduminium wints through their elected bound of Directors.

It is also considert with section F(5) of the Condimina Fet which pundes Not, "If any provision is the Declaration is inconsistent with the pursions on his Act, the provisions of this Act presail and to Declaration shell be deemed to be amended a conduciply."

The Applicant thus, to be extent that a provision is being relied on to Leny De Newy De newdonts to right to terminate the agreement in accordance with S. 112 & to Condominium Act, As De clanting, much be anorded to allow for some.

For flex reasons be Application & unnediately require the Nemadest to purchase the variation manager unit, parting unit and local unit for a purchase price of \$240,000 is disnumed. To appear to to the other all of 24,200

However the Respondent admits that he Applicant has incurred expenses is relative on the Appropriate and that damages are used to the Applicant. He submissions were nade regarding the quantum of damages on the did not pouriet.

To parties will attempt to settle le voire of dangers onig to the Applicant. For If they are unable to the voir Any Love agreed to realtened before me on thursder Septenber 17th at 5 cm at 301 University Avenue for a as how preceding to Latermy the grantim of Langers payable to the Applicant.

Costs of todays date to be seened to Septenter 17, 2009.